	P.	ATENT COOP	<b>ERATION TR</b>	EATY	<b></b>	
From the		•			RECEIVED	
INTERNATIONAL SE	ARCHING AUTH	ORITY			0 7 OCT 2004	
To:			7	DO	William	
			·	P C	TVIPO PCT	
				•	•	
see form	PCT//SA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
		•		(PCT Rule 4	3 <i>bis</i> .1)	
			Date of mailing			
			(dayimontiviyear) see form PCT/ISA210 (second sheet)			
Applicant's or agent's fil	e reference					
see form PCT/ISA/			FOR FURTHER See paragraph 2 be	I ACTION low		
International application PCT/CA2004/00072	No.	International filing date	day/month/year)	Priority date (d	ay/month/year)	
		14.05.2004		16.05.2003		
A61P25/00, A61P2	ड्डााट्डांon (IPC) or   3/00, A61K39/39	poth national classification 95, A61K31/00, G01N	and IPC			
Applicant			100/40			
UNIVERSITE LAVA	<b>AL</b>					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1. This opinion co	ontains indicatio	ns relating to the folk	Ovina itama:			
☑ Box No. I	Basis of the opi		oming items.			
Box No. II	Priority	•iiOt t	,	•		
· 🔯 Box No. III	•	ent of opinion with case	and to now the investment			
Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	ent of opinion with regainvention	no to hoverry, inventi	ve step and indus	strial applicability	
Box No. V	Reasoned state	ment under Rule 4356	.1(a)(i) with regard to	BONEITY inventiv	e stop er industrial	
Box No. VI	certain docume		supporting such stat	ement -	c step or indostrial	
☐ Box No. VII		in the international appl		<u> </u>		
☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observa	tions on the internations	ICALION		•	
2. FURTHER ACTI		on the litter handing	a application			
		-3				
written opinion of	the international	ninary examination is m Preliminary Examining other than this one to i	ade, this opinion will Authority ("IPEA"). L	usually be considered	dered to be a	
International Bure	oses an Authority eau under Rule 61	other than this one to I 6.1 bis(b) that written op	oe the IPEA and the	chosen IPEA has	s not apply where notifed the	
If this opinion is, a	as provided above	e, considered to be a w	ritten opinion of the I	PEA the applica:	at ic impleate	
months from the	date of mailing of	ogether, where appropriate from PCT/ISA/220 or b	riate, with amendment of the expiration of	nts, before the ex	piration of three	
•			arona ma aspiration (	of the months from	n the phorny date,	
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220_						
				•		
arne and mailing address of the ISA:			Authorized Officer			
European Pa	atent Office				San	
D-80298 Mut Tel. +49 89 2	399 - 0 Tx: 523656	epmu d	lrion, A	·		
Fax: +49 89 :	<b>2399 - 4465</b>	-	Telephone No. +49 89 2	2399-8174	3 to the state of	

à

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CA2004/000726

Box No. I Basis of the opinion
<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> </ol>
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of material:
a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material:
in written format
in computer readable form
c. time of filling/furnishing:
illed together with the international application in computer readable form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CA2004/000726

-			
	Bo	x No. II	Priority
1.	X	The fol	lowing document has not been furnished:
		. 🗵	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
	1	Consec	quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has beless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.		This op	inion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim on found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international the indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3	Add		bservations if nooneens.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CA2004/000726

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
	the entire international application,						
X							
be	cause:		(all partially; N,IE,IA)				
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-21,31,33,35-43,53-81 (IA) relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
	see separate sheet		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
Ø	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 1-15,17,19,21-38,40,42,53-58,60,62,64,81 (all partially)						
	the written form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
	See separate sheet for further o	ietail	S S				

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CA2004/000726

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

44-52, 65-81

Claims

1-43, 53-64

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

44-52,65-81

No: Claims

1-43,53-64

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

22-30, 32,34,44-52

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

# Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10) and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

International application No.

PCT/CA2004/000726

## Item III

- III.1 With respect to claims 1-15, 17, 19, 21-38, 40, 42, 53-58, 60, 62, 64, and 81 Claims 1-15, 17, 19, 21-38, 40, 42, 53-58, 60, 62, 64, and 81 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claims attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved,
  - 1. decreasing an intracellular chloride level (claims 1, 11, 22, 27, 33, 34, 53, 81)
  - 2. modulating the activity or expression of a chloride transporter (claims 2, 12, 23, 28, 35, 54)
  - 3. increasing the KCC2 activity or expression (claims 4, 14, 25, 30, 37, 56, 57)
  - 4. inhibiting the TrkB (claims 15, 38, 58)
  - 5. inhibiting the PKA (claims 17, 40, 57) or
  - 6. inhibiting the CAM kinase (claims 19, 42, 62)

which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem, without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result. Although the effects can be measured, the skilled person is not in the position without undue burden to screen all known and even yet to be discovered compounds used for the treatment of pain as to their effect on intracellular chloride levels.

This afore mentioned defect is such that it has not been possible to carry out a meaningful search on the whole subject-matter. Thus the search, and therefore the examination has been limited to compounds explicitly disclosed in the application. However, in view of large number thereof and of te lack of any structural feature common to all of these compounds the search could not be limited to all of them (Article 6 PCT, lack of concisness). Moreover, for the compounds disclosed on p. 24 l. 15-22, no data are provided as to their effect on chloride levels in neuronal cells (Article 6 PCT, lack of support). Hence the search, and therefore the examination have been limited to the follwoing compounds:

- (I) inhibitor of TrkB: K-252a, anti-TrkB antibodies, N-ethylmaleimide, staurosporine as disclosed in claims 16, 39, 59, and p. 23 I. 14-32
- (ii) inhibitor of PKA: H-89 as disclosed in claims 18, 41, 61, and p. 23 l. 32 p. 24 l. 4
- (iii) inhibitor of CAM kinase: KN-93 as disclosed in claims 20, 43, 63, and p. 24 l. 4-10
- (iv) anti-sense KCC2 mRNA as disclosed in the Example 2 of the application. The compounds (I), (ii), and (iii) are disclosed further on p. 3 l. 25-31, p. 5 l. 10-17,

International application No.

PCT/CA2004/000726

p. 7 l. 17-24, Examples 2-4).

# III.2 With respect to claims 1-21, 31, 33, 35-43, and 53-81

Claims 1-21, 31, 33, 35-43, and 53-81 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(I) PCT).

### Item V

# V.1 Reference is made to following documents

- D1: US2002028779 (HIGH ET AL.) 07 March 2002 (2002-03-07)
- D2: WO02102232 (THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA) 27 December 2002 (2002-12-27)
- D3: K.O. ALEY & J.D. LEVINE: 'Role of protein kinase A in the maintenance of inflammatory pain', THE JOURNAL OF NEUROSCIENCE, 15 March 1999 (1999-03-15), vol. 19, no. 6, pages 2181-2186
- D4: X.Y. HUA ET AL.: 'Inhibition of spinal protein kinase C reduces nerve injury-induced tactile allodynia in neuropathic rats', NEUROSCIENCE LETTERS, 1999, vol. 276, pages 99-102
- D5: L. FANG ET AL.: 'CaMK II signaling in central senzitization in a rat model of visceral pain', SOCIETY FOR NEUROSCIENCE ABSTRACTS, 2001, vol. 27, no. 2, page 2163 & 31st Annual Meeting of the Society for Neuroscience, San Diego, California, USA; November 10-15, 2001
- D6: US2004032870 (RINAT NEUROSCIENCE CORP) 22 April 2004 (2004-04-22)
- D7: J.A.M. COULL ET AL: 'Trans-synaptic shift in anion gradient in spinal lamina I neurons as a mechanism of neuropathic pain', NATURE, 21 August 2003 (2003-08-21), vol. 424, pages 938-942

# V.2 Novelty (Article 33(2) PCT)

# V.2.1 With respect to claims 1-16, 21-39, 53-59, and 64

Document D1 describes the treatment of pain using the Trk inhibitor K-252a (paragraph [0010]-[0012], [0023], [0028]-[0029], [0041], [0050]-[0051]; Example 2; claims 2, 12, 19). Therefore, the subject-matter of claims 1-16, 21-39, 53-59 and 64

Form PCT/Separate Sheet/237 (Sheet 2) (EPO-January 2004)

International application No.

PCT/CA2004/000726

is not considered novel in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT, since a newly identified mode of action, which is in the present case decreasing the intracellular chloride level, is not a feature which confers novelty on a medical use.

# V.2.2 With respect to claims 1-13, 17, 18, 21-37, 40, 41, 53-57, 60, 61, and 64

Document D2 describes the use of the PKA inhibitor H-89 in combination with inhibitors of the Ras-MEK-ERK cascade for the treatment of pain, e.g. hyperalgesia, neuropathic pain and inflammatory pain (p. 7 l. 4-8, p. 3 l. 1-2, p. 10 l. 20-23, p. 14 l. 7-9, claim 94, p. 56 l. 17-20). Furthermore, D2 describes production of hyperalgesia through the activation of PKA (p. 41 l. 15-28) and document D3 describes the use of PKA inibitors for the reduction of hyperalgesia (abstract).

Document D4 describes the use of H-89 having anti-allodynic activity (abstract). Therefore, the subject-matter of claims 1-13, 17, 18, 21-37, 40, 41, 53-57, 60, 61, and 64 is not considered novel in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

# V.2.3 With respect to claims 1-7, 9, 11-13, 19-37, 42, 43, 53-57, and 62-64

Document D5 describes the use of KN-93 for the treatment of visceral pain (abstract). Therefore, the subject-matter of claims 1-7, 9, 11-13, 19-37, 42, 43, 53-57, and 62-64 is not considered novel in the snese of Article 33(2) PCT.

## V.2.4 With respect to claims 44-52, and 65-81

None of the documents cited in the international search report describe a method of identifying or characterizing a compound for the treatment or prevention of pain comprising the determination of the intracellular chloride level and a method for diagnosing or prognosticating pain associated with CNS dysfunction comprising the determination of the intracellular chloride level. Therefore, the subject-matter of claims 44-52 and 65-81 is considered novel in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

# V.3 Inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT)

## V.3.1 With respect to claims 44-52 and 65-81

None of the documents cited in the international search report suggest that the determination of the intracellular chloride level might be the basis of the identification of compounds being beneficial in pain treatment or diagnosis. Therefore, the subject-matter of claims 44-52 and 65-81 is considered inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

International application No.

PCT/CA2004/000726

# V.4 Industrial applicability (Article 33(4) PCT)

### V.4.1 With respect to claims 1-23 and 25

The subject-matter of claims 22-30, 32, 34, 44-52 appears to be susceptible of industrial application.

# V.4.2 With respect to claims 1-21, 31, 33, 35-43, 53-81

The subject-matter of claims 1-21, 31, 33, 35-43, 53-81 is considered to be a method of treatment by therapy of the human or animal body and/or a diagnostic method practised on the human/animal body.

For the assessment of the present claims 1-21, 31, 33, 35-43, 53-81 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

#### V.5 Further remarks

#### V.5.1 With respect to claim 3

The abbreviation "KCC2" used in the claims should be spelled out at least once, e.g. in claim 3, in order to avoid a lack of clarity (Article 6 PCT).

# V.5.2 With respect to claims 21 and 64

The term "substantially identical" used in claims 21 and 64 is vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical feature to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear, Article 6 PCT.

## Item VI

#### VI.1 With respect to documents D6-D7

The examination report has been based on an assumed valid priority for the present application. Should the priority of the present application not be valid, the above cited

International application No.

PCT/CA2004/000726

documents D6-D7 would be relevant with respect to novelty and inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT). Furthermore, should the present application be entered into the regional phase, the document D6 could be relevant to the question of novelty.